

## ERRATUM: Correction for Mistyped Inequality Sign

Mistyped inequality sign in the issue of volume 43, number 1, 2011

At the last paragraph of the discussion section of paper titled “Clinical responses and prognostic indicators of concurrent chemoradiation for non-small cell lung cancer” published in volume 43, number 1, March 2011 page 32-41, inequality sign was mistyped.

It was printed as “Thus, a follow up study including a larger cohort should be performed. In our study, patients treated with BED10  $\geq$  70 showed a better OS when compared to those with **BED10  $\geq$  70**, and this was the independent prognostic factor associated with improved OS in the multivariate analysis (hazard ratio, 6.184; 95% confidence interval, 1.644 to 23.259;  $p=0.007$ ).”

It should be revised as follows:

“Thus, a follow up study including a larger cohort should be performed. In our study, patients treated with BED10  $\geq$  70 showed a better OS when compared to those with **BED10  $<$  70**, and this was the independent prognostic factor associated with improved OS in the multivariate analysis (hazard ratio, 6.184; 95% confidence interval, 1.644 to 23.259;  $p=0.007$ ).”

We apologize to readers for leading to confusion on the interpretation of the results.

\*DOI of original article: 10.4143/crt.2011.43.1.32