Detection of Lung Cancer using MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 RT-PCR Expression Profiles in the Bronchial Wash Fluid
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Purpose: Bronchial wash fluid may be a useful for detecting lung cancer. To increase the detection rates, we performed molecular analysis with using MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 RT-PCR on bronchial wash fluid specimens.

Materials and Methods: We obtained 57 lung cancer tissue specimens by bronchoscopic biopsy and 131 bronchial washes from 96 patients with lung cancer and 35 patients with benign lung diseases. The MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 gene expressions were investigated in the cancer tissue specimens and bronchial wash fluids. We evaluated the positive detection rates of these methods according to the cytology results and the clinical findings.

Results: For the cancer tissue specimens and the bronchial wash fluid, the positive detection rate of MAGE or SSX4 was 91.2% and 75.0%, respectively. Combined MAGE and SSX4 PCR and cytology tests showed an 83.3% detection rate for the bronchial wash fluid. From bronchial washes of patients with benign lung diseases, the positive rates of using MAGE or SSX4 was 11.4%. In the bronchial wash fluid of lung cancer patients, 66.7% of the peripheral cancers were detected by MAGE or SSX4, while examination with cytology did not detect any peripheral lung cancer.

Conclusion: The application of both MAGE and SSX4 showed high sensitivity and specificity for the detection of lung cancer. Thus, MAGE and SSX4 RT-PCR may be effectively utilized as additional methods to improve detection of lung cancer with using bronchial wash fluids.
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INTRODUCTION

In order to improve the early detection rate of lung cancer, we designed melanoma-associated antigen (MAGE) A1-6 common primers (1) that could detect the markers MAGE A1 to A6 simultaneously. MAGE is not expressed in normal tissues, except in the testis and placenta (2). A MAGE expression is generally considered to be a tumor specific event (3). Performing MAGE RT-PCR with using MAGE A1-6 common primers has been used to determine the lung cancer detection rates in cancer specimens from random sputum, induced sputum, BAL and pleural fluids (4). Among all the available respiratory specimens, induced sputum might be the best specimen for lung cancer screening because it is easily obtained. Therefore, we focused our attention on induced sputum as being a practical screening specimen for detecting lung cancer; however, the rates for detecting lung cancer with using sputum samples were variable depending on the specimen quality.

Although sampling of bronchial wash fluid is relatively invasive, the resulting specimens may be more dependable and they contain more lung cancer cells than are found in induced sputum samples (5). There have been many molecular studies on lung cancer detection with using bronchial lavage fluid (6~10), but these studies had limited success finding a simple, convenient and reliable method that could be easily adapted to the clinical laboratory.

Synovial sarcoma on the X chromosome gene (SSX) is a cancer testis antigen gene that’s expressed in cancer tissues, but not in normal tissues except for the thyroid and testis (11~13). SSX has nine subtypes, SSX1 to SSX9 (11); among the different subtypes, SSX4 has been reported to show a high expression (35%) in lung cancer (14). The combined use of MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 might increase the rate of detecting cancer.

We performed molecular analysis with using MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 RT-PCR and we compared the results to that of a conventional cytological examination. In addition, we determined the sensitivity and specificity of MAGE A1-6 and SSX4...
for detecting lung cancer in bronchial wash fluid.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To evaluate the rates MAGE and SSX4 are expressed from cancer tissues, we obtained 57 lung cancer tissues via bronchoscope biopsy. The cancer tissue specimens included 5 with adenocarcinoma, 11 with small cell carcinoma, 39 with squamous cell carcinoma and 2 with other cancers: 1 large cell and 1 mucoepidermoid cancer, respectively. For the clinical application, another 131 bronchial washes were randomly obtained from the patients who visited Yeungnam University Hospital for pulmonary complaints. These patients were finally diagnosed with using the CT or bronchoscopic findings, and the bronchoscopic or percutaneous needle biopsy results; 96 patients had lung cancer and 35 patients had benign lung disease. The collected fluids (6 ml) were divided into two tubes: one for cytological examination and one for molecular analysis. The positive detection rates were evaluated according to the cell type, the cancer stage and the location. The cancer stages were classified according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer, and the tumor locations were categorized as central or peripheral tumor according to the visibility of the cancer with using a 5.8 mm fiberoptic bronchoscope (Olympus, Japan).

The differences of the lung cancer detection rates were analyzed according to the detection methods, and the clinical findings were analyzed by the chi square test with using SPSS software (version 14.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL). p values <.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

1) Sensitivity and specificity

Among the 57 cancer tissues, the average expression rates for MAGE and SSX4 were 89.5% and 29.1%, respectively. The MAGE expression rates varied from 80% to 90.9%, while the SSX4 expression rates varied from 9.1% to 40.0% according to the cell type. The positive rate of lung cancer detection with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Positive rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAGE</td>
<td>SSX4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCC</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQCC</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Two cases were not tested, 1 large cell carcinoma and 1 mucoepidermoid cancer.

Fig. 1. Amplification of MAGE A1-6 (A), SSX4 (B) and GAPD (C) from ten (1~10) bronchial wash specimens of lung cancer patients. P=positive control, N=negative control.
using the MAGE or SSX4 gene expression was 91.2% (Table 1).

For the 96 bronchial washes from the lung cancer patients, the positive rates for the cytology examination and the MAGE and SSX4 expressions were 50.0%, 69.8% and 30.9%, respectively (Fig. 1); the positive lung cancer detection rate for using the MAGE or SSX4 expression was 75.0%. Based on cell type, the cytology exam and the MAGE expression showed the highest detection rates for squamous cell carcinoma, while the SSX4 expression had the highest detection rate for adenocarcinoma. The MAGE testing demonstrated a significantly higher detection rate compared to the cytology exam, and this was especially so for squamous cell cancer. With using both molecular markers and a cytological examination, the detection rate for lung cancer was significantly increased from 50.0% for cytology alone, to 83.3% (Table 2).

For the 35 bronchial wash samples from the patients with benign lung diseases, four cases (11.4%) showed a positive MAGE expression in specimens from patients with tuberculosis or other lung diseases, while SSX4 was expressed only in one of tuberculosis specimens. Though statistically insignificant, the expression rate for SSX4 was lower than that of MAGE in bronchial wash fluids from patients with benign lung diseases (Table 3).

### Table 2: Positive detection rates of lung cancer, with using cytology and MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 RT-PCR, in the bronchial washes of lung cancer patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell types</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Positive rates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCC</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQCC</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cancers</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MAGE or SSX4, †Cytology, MAGE and SSX4, ‡p value <0.01 when compared with the cytology results by chi square test; the positive detection rates of the other tests were not significantly different from that using a cytologic examination.

### Table 3: Positive expression rates of MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 in the bronchial wash fluids from patients with benign lung diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. of positive</th>
<th>MAGE</th>
<th>SSX4</th>
<th>MS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chr. inflammation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (11.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MAGE or SSX4.
positive rates of using cytology and the MAGE and SSX4 expressions was 46.7, 73.3 and 32.6%, respectively. The combination of using molecular and cytology tests revealed a significantly higher detection rate (82.2%) than that of using cytological examination alone. For peripheral cancer locations, cytology tests did not detect any lung cancer; however, MAGE or SSX4 tests detected 66.7% of these lung cancers. Each of the molecular tests was significantly more sensitive than the cytology tests (p < 0.01). For central cancer locations, the cytology test detected 63.2% of the lung cancer cases, while the MAGE or SSX4 tests could detect 77.6% of the lung cancer cases. With combining tests for the MAGE and SSX4 expressions and the cytology, the positive detection rate increased up to 88.2% (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Compared to sputum, bronchial wash fluid contains many more lung cancer cells; thus, it might be expected that the detection rate for lung cancer would usually be higher with using bronchial wash specimens compared to sputum (5). In the case of a peripheral lung cancer, low dose spiral CT, PET and bronchoscopy are the currently used modalities to screen for peripheral lung cancer; however, fine needle aspiration and cytological examination are still required for confirming the diagnosis (15). Yet needle aspiration has the risk of cancer dissemination (16,17); in addition, the cytological diagnosis may be equivocal (18,19). Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid may provide an adequate specimen for detecting lung cancer (20,21), but bronchial wash fluid is easier to obtain for both the patients and the pulmonologist. In prior studies, cytology evaluations have been reported to detect lung cancer in 17.7% to 80.5% of the cases when using bronchial wash specimens (5,18,19,21). The detection rates are affected by the pathologist’s expertise, the examination time and the amount of concentrated fluid that’s available for analysis.

Molecular analyses for such markers as MAGE (8), telomerase (18,22) and hnRNP A2/B1 (23) have been studied for the early detection of lung cancer with using bronchial lavage samples. However, most molecular tests are too cumbersome to be utilized in the clinical laboratory. In this study, two cancer specific markers and an immediate RNA preservation system were used. Our detection method was regular RT-PCR technology, and so it can be easily adapted as a routine clinical laboratory test.

The results of this study showed that the positive rates of detecting the MAGE A1-6 or SSX4 expressions in lung cancer tissue were more than 90%. Although the number of cases of adenocarcinoma was very limited, the positive rates with using the SSX4 expression in lung cancer tissue were similar to those of a prior study (14). Thus, these molecular markers may be useful for lung cancer detection in clinical specimens.

With using the bronchial wash fluids from lung cancer patients, the combined use of detecting the MAGE and SSX4 expressions increased the detection rate for adenocarcinoma compared to using MAGE alone (57.1% vs. 42.9%, respectively). Moreover, using the SSX4 expression was found to be more specific than that with using the MAGE A1-6 expression for detecting benign lung disease. Thus, the additional test using SSX4 was beneficial without reducing the specificity for detecting lung cancer.

The sensitivity and specificity for the MAGE and SSX4 molecular testing in the bronchial wash fluid was 75.0% and 88.6%, respectively. Therefore, it would seem that MAGE and SSX4 testing may be useful as a clinical method for detecting lung cancer. When a cytological examination is added to this, then a sensitive and specific lung cancer detection test would be easily available in the clinical laboratory.

The lower detection rate for the cytology studies on specimens with an advanced T stage might be explained by a reduced ratio of cancerous cells to degenerated cells (18). However, with molecular testing, the detection rates were increased with the advancing T stage; this suggests that using the RT-PCR technique would reduce the chance of missing cancer cells in the fluid specimen. In studies that have compared cytology and PCR, the results have shown that PCR was more sensitive than cytology for cancer detection (18,24,25). Thus, the low sensitivity of cytological evaluation might lead to missing cancer cells, and this may be due to the presence of a small number of cancer cells mixed in with alveolar macrophages and other nonmalignant cells in the specimen. For the specimens that had negative cytology for peripheral cancer, MAGE or SSX4 testing could detect 67% of the cancers.

Low dose CT scanning has been shown to be useful in screening for early peripheral non-small cell lung cancers (20); needle aspiration may be able to differentiate between malignant and benign disease, but its role is less certain for lesions ≤ 1 cm (15). Thus, MAGE A1-6 and SSX4 RT-PCR may provide a novel and useful approach for the early detection of peripheral lung cancer in such cases.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, determining the MAGE and SSX4 expressions in combination could detect 66.7% of the peripheral lung cancer missed by cytological examination. The specificity of this molecular testing was very high. MAGE and SSX4 RT-PCR may be a useful additional tool for detecting lung cancer in bronchial wash fluid.
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