https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2022.1633

Original Article

Prognostic Value of the Evolution of HER2-Low Expression after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy

Youzhao Ma[®], Mingda Zhu[®], Jingyang Zhang, Minhao Lv, Xiuchun Chen[®], Zhenzhen Liu[®]

Department of Breast Disease, Henan Breast Cancer Center, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University & Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

Purpose Patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)–low advanced breast cancer can benefit from trastuzumab deruxtecan. Given the unclear prognostic characteristics of HER2-low breast cancer, we investigated the prognostic characteristics of HER2-low expression from primary tumor to residual disease after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT).

Materials and Methods The data of HER2-negative patients receiving NACT at our center were collected. Pathological complete response (pCR) rate were compared between HER2-0 and HER2-low patients. The evolution of HER2 expression from primary tumor to residual disease and its impact on disease-free survival (DFS) were examined.

Results Of the 690 patients, 494 patients had HER2-low status, of which 72.3% were hormone receptor (HR)–positive (p < 0.001). The pCR rates of HER2-low and HER2-0 patients (14.2% vs. 23.0%) showed no difference in multivariate analysis regardless of HR status. No association was observed between DFS and HER2 status. Of the 564 non-pCR patients, 57 (10.1%) changed to HER2-positive, and 64 of the 150 patients (42.7%) with HER2-0 tumors changed to HER2-low. HER2-low (p=0.004) and HR-positive (p=0.010) tumors before NACT were prone to HER2 gain. HER2 gain patients had a better DFS compared with HER2-negative maintained patients (87.9% vs. 79.5%, p=0.048), and the DFS of targeted therapy group was better than that of no targeted therapy group (92.4% vs. 66.7%, p=0.016).

Conclusion Although HER2-low did not affect the pCR rate and DFS, significant evolution of HER2-low expression after NACT creates opportunities for targeted therapy including trastuzumab.

Key words Breast neoplasms, Prognostic value, Evolution, HER2-low, HER2 gain, Disease-free survival, Neoadjuvant therapy

Introduction

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is a prognostic factor for breast cancer (BC) and a predictor of targeted therapy. At present, BC is categorized into HER2-positive (20%) and HER2-negative (80%) according to HER2 expression [1]. Anti-HER2 targeted therapy drugs, represented by trastuzumab, have been shown to significantly improve the prognosis of patients with HER2-positive BC [2,3]. On the contrary, studies have reported that HER2-negative BC does not respond to conventional anti-HER2 targeted therapy [1,4,5]. HER2-low expression is defined as immunohistochemistry (IHC) 1+ or IHC 2+ and *HER2* gene not amplified measured by *in situ* hybridization; HER2-negative patients have HER2-low expression [6]. Previous

studies have established the efficacy of novel antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) in treating HER2-low tumors [7,8]. The DESTINY-Breast04 phase 3 trial recently reported positive results for HER2-low advanced BC [9]. These findings suggest that HER2-low BC may be a distinct biological subtype.

However, the biological behavior of HER2-low BC is yet to be elucidated. HER2-low BCs exhibit significant heterogeneity [5,10,11]. According to previous studies, the prognostic value of HER2-low remains controversial [12-14]. Discrepancies are also found in the influence of the HER2-low status on the pathological complete response (pCR) rate of patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) [12,13,15]. The diverse evolution of HER2 status before and after NACT have been observed in previous studies [16-18]. These controversial results suggest that the clinicopathological characteristics and prognostic value of HER2-low patients with

Correspondence: Xiuchun Chen

Department of Breast Disease, Henan Breast Cancer Center, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University & Henan Cancer Hospital, No. 127, Dongming Road, Zhengzhou 450008, China Tel: 86-371-65587406 Fax: 86-371-65587406 E-mail: zlyychenxiuchun3921@zzu.edu.cn

Received December 29, 2022 Accepted April 2, 2023 Published Online April 4, 2023 Co-correspondence: Zhenzhen Liu

Department of Breast Disease, Henan Breast Cancer Center, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University & Henan Cancer Hospital, No. 127, Dongming Road, Zhengzhou 450008, China Tel: 86-371-65587005 Fax: 86-371-65587005 E-mail: zlyyliuzhenzhen0800@zzu.edu.cn

*Youzhao Ma and Mingda Zhu contributed equally to this work.

1210 Copyright © 2023 by the Korean Cancer Association

© This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

early BC receiving NACT warrant further research.

This study aimed to analyze the impact of the HER2-low status on pathological response and prognosis, to explore the biological characteristics of HER2-low BC, and to analyze the evolution of the HER2-low status after NACT and its impact on prognosis.

Materials and Methods

1. Patient selection

We retrospectively analyzed the clinicopathological data of early BC patients treated at the Henan Cancer Hospital from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2020. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Henan Cancer Hospital (Research Approval Number: 2022-299).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) female sex; (2) age > 18 years; (3) HER2-negative BC. HER2-negative criteria were as follows: IHC 0 or IHC 1+ or IHC 2+ and HER2 gene not amplified by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; (4) stages II-III invasive BC, and all patients having received anthracycline and taxane-based NACT, followed by a curable surgery; (5) available data on the HER2 status, estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and the Ki-67 index before and after NACT; (6) accurate clinical tumor (cT) and nodal (cN) staging according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) systems (7th edition); (7) available follow-up.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) HER2 positive BC; (2) male BC; (3) metastatic BC; (4) patients without NACT; (5) bilateral BC; (6) inflammatory BC; (7) received targeted or endocrine therapy in the neoadjuvant setting; (8) combined with other primary tumors.

2. Information collection and follow-up

The present study collected clinical data about patients, including their age, menopausal status, clinical T and N staging, the expression of ER, PR, HER2 and Ki-67 index before and after NACT, operation information, radiotherapy, postoperative therapy, relapse time, relapse site, and disease-free survival (DFS).

Considering that the efficacy of endocrine therapy is not significant when hormone receptor (HR) expression < 10%, we defined the cut-off value of HR positivity as 10%. In this study, HR-positive was defined as $ER \ge 10\%$ and/or PR $\ge 10\%$ and HR-negative as ER < 10% and PR < 10%. Ki-67 $\ge 20\%$ was defined as the high expression and Ki-67 < 20% as the low expression. pCR was defined as the absence of residual invasive tumor cells in the postoperative specimen from breast and regional lymph nodes (ypT0/ypTis ypN0). DFS was defined as the period from surgery to disease relapse or death from any cause.

3. Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS ver. 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The influencing factors of pCR and HER2 status were analyzed by chi-square test and logistic regression model. The independent risk factors affecting the prognosis of HER2-negative BC were analyzed through univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses. Two-sided p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Kaplan-Meier survival curve was applied to reflect the impact of risk factors on prognosis. The Mulberry diagram was completed by using the R software (ver. 4.0.3, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

1. Clinicopathologic characteristics based on HER2 status

Of the 690 patients, 196 (28.4%) had HER2-0 tumors and 494 (71.6%) had HER2-low tumors (Table 1). The proportion of HER2-low was associated with HR status (80% in HR-positive patients vs. 56.1% in HR-negative, p < 0.001) (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, of the 690 patients, 641 (92.9%) had T2-T4 stage tumors and 562 (81.4%) had invasions of regional lymph nodes. Univariate analysis showed that the HER2-low status was also associated with age at diagnosis, menopausal status, and Ki-67 expression. No statistical difference was observed for the clinical T and N categories. In multivariate analysis, the HER2-low status was related to HR (odds ratio [OR], 3.389; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.359 to 4.870; p < 0.001) and menopausal (OR, 2.111; 95% CI, 1.245 to 3.579; p=0.006) status (Table 1).

2. Effect of the HER2 status on pathological response

Of the 690 HER2-negative patients, 115 patients (16.7%) achieved pCR after NACT, 76 (31.1%) of the HR-negative patients achieved pCR, and 39 (8.7%) of the HR-positive patients achieved pCR (p < 0.001). In univariate analysis, achievement of pCR was associated with HR status, HER2 status, and clinical T and N categories (Table 2). The pCR rate of HER2-0 patients was significantly higher than that of HER2-low patients (23.0% vs. 14.2%, p=0.005) (Fig. 1B). In HR-negative patients, there was no significant difference in the pCR rate between HER2-0 and HER2-low tumors (31.8% vs. 30.7%, p=0.850) (Fig. 1B). In HR-positive patients, although the pCR rate of the HER2-0 and HER2-low groups did not achieve a statistical difference, the pCR of HER2-0 was higher than that of HER2-low numerically (12.4% vs. 7.8%, p=0.180) (Fig. 1B). The lower pCR rate of patients was associated with higher cT (p=0.018) and cN (p=0.003) categories. No significant difference was observed for Ki-67, age at diagnosis, and menopausal status (Table 2).

Chamatanistia	Total	HER2-0,	HER2-low,	Univari	ate analysis	Mu	ltivariate ana	lysis
Characteristic	10(a)	n (%)	n (%)	χ^2	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age at diagnosis (yr)								
< 50	396	131 (33.1)	265 (66.9)	9.988	0.002	1.196	0.728-1.966	0.480
≥ 50	294	65 (22.1)	229 (77.9)					
Menopausal status								
Premenopausal	438	145 (33.1)	293 (66.9)	13.022	< 0.001	2.111	1.245-3.579	0.006
Postmenopausal	252	51 (20.2)	201 (79.8)					
cT								
T1	49	17 (34.7)	32 (65.3)	1.615	0.656	-	-	-
T2	499	138 (27.7)	361 (72.3)					
Т3	105	32 (30.5)	73 (69.5)					
T4	37	9 (24.3)	28 (75.7)					
cN								
N0	128	34 (26.6)	94 (73.4)	1.447	0.695	-	-	-
N1	345	95 (27.5)	250 (72.5)					
N2	49	17 (34.7)	32 (65.3)					
N3	168	50 (29.8)	118 (70.2)					
HR (%)								
< 10	244	107 (43.9)	137 (56.1)	44.288	< 0.001	3.389	2.359-4.870	< 0.001
≥ 10	446	89 (20.0)	357 (80.0)					
Ki-67 (%)								
< 20	54	7 (13.0)	47 (87.0)	6.902	0.009	0.521	0.226-1.201	0.126
≥ 20	635	189 (29.8)	446 (70.2)					

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics associated with change in HER2 status

CI, confidence interval; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; OR, odds ratio.



Fig. 1. Distribution and pathological complete response (pCR) rates of study population. (A) Distribution of study population according to hormone receptor (HR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status. (B) pCR rates according to HER2 status in all, HR-negative, and HR-positive patients.

The variables with p-value < 0.05 in the chi-square test were included in the logistic regression model analysis. Multivariate analyses revealed that HR status and clinical T and N categories were independent predictors of pCR in patients with HER2-negative BC. No association was observed between HER2-low status and pCR rate (Table 2).

3. DFS based on the HER2 status before NACT

After a median follow-up of 40.2 months (95% CI, 38.9 to 41.5), the DFS of the overall population was 83.5%. Univariate analysis and Cox regression analyses revealed that clinical N category (p < 0.001), HR status (HR, 0.387; 95% CI, 0.267 to 0.560; p < 0.001), and pCR (HR, 0.203; 95% CI,

Chamadaniatia	Tratal	Non-pCR,	pCR,	Univari	iate analysis	Mul	tivariate ana	lysis
Characteristic	Total	n (%)	n (%)	χ²	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age at diagnosis (yr)								
< 50	396	328 (82.8)	68 (17.2)	0.171	0.680	-		-
≥ 50	294	247 (84.0)	47 (16.0)					
Menopausal status								
Premenopausal	438	367 (83.8)	71 (16.2)	0.180	0.671	-		-
Postmenopausal	252	208 (82.5)	44 (17.5)					
cT								
T1	49	37 (75.5)	12 (24.5)	10.124	0.018	Reference		0.036
Τ2	498	408 (81.9)	90 (18.1)			0.594	0.281-1.255	0.172
Т3	105	93 (88.6)	12 (11.4)			0.319	0.123-0.827	0.019
T4	37	36 (97.3)	1 (2.7)			0.103	0.012-0.872	0.037
cN								
N0	127	95 (74.8)	32 (25.2)	14.014	0.003	Reference		0.014
N1	345	285 (82.6)	60 (17.4)			0.801	0.473-1.354	0.407
N2	49	41 (83.7)	8 (16.3)			0.599	0.238-1.510	0.277
N3	168	153 (91.1)	15 (8.9)			0.329	0.163-0.664	0.002
HR (%)								
< 10	244	168 (68.9)	76 (31.1)	56.994	< 0.001	Reference		< 0.001
≥ 10	446	407 (91.3)	39 (8.7)			0.228	0.144-0.361	< 0.001
Ki-67 (%)								
< 20	54	50 (92.6)	4 (7.4)	3.632	0.057	-		-
≥ 20	635	524 (82.5)	111 (17.5)					
HER2								
0	196	151 (77.0)	45 (23.0)	7.805	0.005	Reference		-
IHC 1+/2+(FISH–)	494	424 (85.8)	70 (14.2)			0.800	0.505-1.265	0.340

Table 2. Clinicopathological characteristics associated with pCR

CI, confidence interval; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; IHC, immunohistochemistry; OR, odds ratio; pCR, pathological complete response.

0.081 to 0.506; p=0.001) were independent prognostic factors (Table 3). HER2-0 and HER2-low patients had comparable DFS (81.1% vs. 84.1%, log-rank test p=0.387) (Fig. 2A).

The DFS events rate of HR-positive patients was lower than that of HR-negative patients (14.1% vs. 23.0%, p < 0.001). Patients with pCR had a lower DFS events rate than those without pCR (4.3% vs. 19.8%, p=0.001) (Table 3) regardless of the HER2-low or HER2-0 status (Fig. 2B). In HR-negative patients, there was no significant difference in DFS between HER2-0 and HER2-low tumors both in pCR and non-pCR groups (Fig. 2C). Similarly, no statistical difference was observed in DFS between HER2-low and HER2-0 tumors in HR-positive patients (Fig. 2D). Additionally, pCR patients had a better DFS rate compared with non-pCR patients in the HR-negative (p < 0.001) and HR-positive (p=0.041) subgroups (Fig. 2C and D).

4. HER2 evolution from primary tumors to residual diseases after NACT

Re-testing of the HER2 status was performed in 564 of the 575 non-pCR patients after NACT (Table 4), of which 57 (10.1%) became HER2-positive (HER2 gain) after NACT. Of these patients, 150 (26.6%) were HER2-0 and 414 (73.4%) were HER2-low before NACT (Table 4). Compared with primary tumors before NACT, the samples of residual diseases after NACT showed significant discordance in HER2 expression; both HER2-low and HER2-0 status changed to HER2-positive, HER2-low or HER2-0 status (Fig. 3). Of the 150 HER2-0 patients, 64 (42.7%) changed to HER2-low and three (2.0%) changed to HER2-positive. Of the 414 HER2-low patients, 58 (14%) changed to HER2-0 and 54 (13.0%) changed to HER2-positive (Fig. 3).

HR-positive and HER2-low tumors had a high rate of HER2 gain (Fig. 4A). The ratio of HER2 gain in HR-positive patients after NACT was higher than that in HR-negative patients (13.1% vs. 2.5%; OR, 3.995; 95% CI, 1.391 to 11.469;

	m + 1	No-DFS	DFS events,	Univari	ate analysis	Mul	tivariate anal	ysis
Characteristic	Iotal	events, n (%)	n (%)	χ²	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age at diagnosis (yr)								
< 50	396	329 (83.1)	67 (16.9)	0.007	0.792	-		-
≥ 50	294	242 (82.3)	52 (17.7)					
Menopausal status								
Premenopausal	438	365 (83.3)	73 (16.7)	0.282	0.595	-		-
Postmenopausal	252	206 (81.7)	46 (18.3)					
cT								
ТО	17	15 (88.2)	2 (11.8)	13.731	0.030	-		0.085
T1	214	178 (83.2)	36 (16.8)					
T2	114	79 (69.3)	35 (30.7)					
Т3	5	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)					
cN								
N0	116	104 (89.7)	12 (10.3)	30.932	< 0.001	Reference		< 0.001
N1	110	88 (80.0)	22 (20.0)			1.335	0.569-3.131	0.506
N2	61	48 (78.7)	13 (21.3)			4.340	1.689-11.155	0.020
N3	63	34 (54.0)	29 (46.0)			10.634	4.868-23.233	< 0.001
HR (%)								
< 10	244	188 (77.0)	56 (23.0)	8.607	0.003	Reference		
≥ 10	446	383 (85.9)	63 (14.1)			0.387	0.267-0.560	< 0.001
HER2								
0	196	157 (80.1)	39 (19.9)	1.349	0.246	-		-
IHC 1+/2+ (FISH–)	494	414 (83.8)	80 (16.2)					
Ki-67 (%)								
< 20	54	48 (88.9)	6 (11.1)	1.556	0.212	-		-
≥ 20	635	522 (82.2)	113 (17.8)					
Radiotherapy								
No	85	68 (80.0)	17 (20.0)	0.515	0.473	-		-
Yes	605	503 (83.1)	102 (16.9)					
pCR								
No	575	461 (80.2)	114 (19.8)	16.087	< 0.001	Reference		
Yes	115	110 (95.7)	5 (4.3)			0.203	0.081-0.506	0.001

Table 3. Cox proportional hazards model for clinicopathological characteristics associated with DFS

CI, confidence interval; DFS, disease-free survival; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; IHC, immunohistochemistry; OR, odds ratio; pCR, pathological complete response.

p=0.010) (Table 4). HER2-low tumors were more inclined to achieve HER2-positive status after NACT than HER2-0 tumors, with and without stratification based on the HR status (HER2-low 13.0% vs. HER2-0 2.0%; p=0.004; HR-/ HER2-low 1.9% vs. HR-/HER2-0 0.6%; HR+/HER2-low 12.6% vs. HR+/HER2-0 0.5%) (Table 4, Fig. 3).

5. DFS based on the HER2 status after NACT

Of the 564 patients with HER2 re-testing results, DFS events occurred in five of the 57 HER2 gain patients and 109 of 507 the HER2-negative maintained patients (χ^2 test p=0.023) (Fig. 4B). The DFS of patients with HER2-positive status after NACT was significantly better than that of HER2-negative

maintained patients (87.9% vs. 79.5%; log-rank test p=0.048) (Fig. 4C). Compared with HER2-0 patients, HER2-positive patients had a better DFS rate (log-rank test p=0.046). When comparing HER2-0 and HER2-low patients with residual diseases, there was no significant difference in DFS (log-rank test p=0.846). Although DFS was not statistically different between HER2-low and HER2-positive patients, the trend was that the DFS of the HER2-positive group was better (Fig. 4C).

Of the 57 patients with HER2-positive tumors after NACT, DFS events occurred in three of the 10 patients who did not receive targeted therapy, two of the 30 patients who received trastuzumab therapy, and 0 of the 17 patients who received trastuzumab combined with pertuzumab therapy after the



Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for disease-free survival according to pre-NACT human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status (A) and pathological complete response (pCR) status (B) in all, hormone receptor (HR)–negative (C), and HR-positive (D) patients.

surgery (χ^2 test p=0.009) (Fig. 4B). The DFS of the targeted therapy group was significantly better than that of the no targeted therapy group (92.4% vs. 66.7%; log-rank test p=0.016) (Fig. 4D).

Discussion

Although studies have shown that HER2-low BC is heterogeneous [5,10,11], the DESTINY-Breat04 trial observed that patients with HER2-low metastatic BC gain survival benefits from trastuzumab deruxtecan, a novel ADC [9]. These findings suggest that the intrinsic characteristics of HER2-low BC have not yet been discovered. Our study found that the HER2-low status was remarkably correlated with HR-positive expression. In univariate analysis, the pCR rate of HER2low patients was lower than that of HER2-0 patients in the entire population; however, the difference was not statistically significant in multivariate analysis. No difference was noted in DFS between HER2-low and HER2-0 tumors in overall population analysis or stratified analysis based on HR status and pCR status. It is noteworthy that our study observed that the HER2 status changed significantly after NACT. Nearly half of the HER2-0 tumors before NACT changed to HER2low status. These patients would probably benefit from novel ADCs. The change of the HER2 status from negative to positive was common in HR-positive and HER2-low groups. HER2 gain and targeted therapy with trastuzumab alone or trastuzumab plus pertuzumab improved the DFS of patients with HER2-negative expression before NACT.

The proportion of HER2-low expression in HER2-negative patients reported in previous large-scale studies ranged from 31% [19] to 64% [20]. The proportion of HER2-low expression in our study was 71.6%, which is close to a previous report on the Asian population [20]. Such a large variation in the HER2-low ratio may be influenced by racial differences, discrepancies in the interpretations of IHC scores between pathologists, etc. One study pointed out that the consistency rate of IHC 0 and 1+ between pathologists was only 26% [21]. This inconsistent result may be linked to the linear continuous distribution of HER2 expression. In the past, this inconsistency did not affect the choice of targeted therapy.

		HER2-negative	HER2-positive	Univari	iate analysis	Mu	ltivariate anal	ysis
Characteristic	lotal	after NACT, n (%)	after NACT, n (%)	χ²	p-value	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age at diagnosis (yr)								
< 50	325	292 (89.8)	33 (10.2)	0.002	0.965	-	-	-
≥ 50	239	215 (90.0)	24 (10.0)					
Menopausal status								
Premenopausal	364	328 (90.1)	36 (9.9)	0.053	0.818	-	-	-
Postmenopausal	200	179 (89.5)	21 (10.5)					
сT								
T1	37	35 (94.6)	2 (5.4)	1.503	0.682	-	-	-
Т2	401	357 (89.0)	44 (11.0)					
Т3	90	82 (91.1)	8 (8.9)					
T4	36	33 (91.7)	3 (8.3)					
cN								
N0	94	89 (94.7)	5 (5.3)	6.603	0.086	-	-	0.227
N1	277	241 (87.0)	36 (13.0)					
N2	40	35 (87.5)	5 (12.5)					
N3	153	142 (92.8)	11 (7.2)					
HR (%)								
< 10	160	156 (97.5)	4 (2.5)	14.225	< 0.001	3.995	1.391-11.469	0.010
≥ 10	404	351 (86.9)	53 (13.1)					
Ki-67 (%)								
< 20	50	42 (84.0)	8 (16.0)	2.082	0.149	-	-	-
≥ 20	513	464 (90.4)	49 (9.6)					
HER2								
0	150	147 (98.0)	3 (2.0)	14.781	< 0.001	5.798	1.763-19.072	0.004
IHC 1+/2+ (FISH-)	414	360 (87.0)	54 (13.0)					

Table 4. Childopathological characteristics associated with TERZ-negative and TERZ-positive status after 147	sociated with HER2-negative and HER2-positive status after NAC	ole 4. Clinicopathological characteristics associated with	Table 4.
---	--	--	----------

CI, confidence interval; FISH, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hormone receptor; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; OR, odds ratio.

However, the inconsistency may affect the results of retrospective studies on HER2-low expression and the screening of patients with indications for novel ADCs.

As the biological characteristics of patients with low HR expression (1%-9%) were closer to those of patients with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) [22], our study defined low HR expression (1%-9%) as HR-negative. In this study, the correlation between HER2-low and HR-positive status was similar to that of other large-sample retrospective studies [12,13,15,19]. In previous studies, the results of the relationship between HER2-low status and pCR rate were inconsistent, which was common in subgroup analysis based on HR status [12,13,15]. In these studies, including ours, there is a trend that HER2-low expression is associated with low pCR rate in the general and HR-positive population. This observation suggests that patients with HER2-low expression may have insufficient treatment in the neoadjuvant therapy setting.

Two studies with large sample size on the influence of

HER2-low expression on DFS and overall survival (OS) have been published recently by Denkert et al. [12] in Lancet Oncology and by Tarantino et al. [13] in JAMA Oncology. The two studies reported differing results. Denkert et al. [12] found that DFS and OS of HER2-low patients were significantly better than those of HER2-0 patients in the overall as well as TNBC population. On the contrary, Tarantino et al. [13] observed that there was no statistical difference in DFS and OS between HER2-low and HER2-0 tumors. In addition to the differences in follow-up time, the sample size and proportion of TNBC included in the two studies varied. In the former study, 50.3% of the 2,310 patients had TNBC, whereas, in the latter study, only 14.1% of the 5,235 patients had TNBC. These differences may explain the discrepancy between the results of the two studies. In a report with a median followup time of 148 months, the analysis of 6,934 patients with TNBC found that the BC-specific survival of HER2-low patients was better than that of HER2-0 patients [19]. Kang et al. [23] stated that the 5-year DFS of patients with HER2-low







B

C

Α

HR-negative	HER2 : disease	status on re e after NAC	esidual T, n (%)	Total	
	0	Low	Positive		
HER2 status on primary BC					
0	51 (31.9)	20 (12.5)	1 (0.6)	72 (45.0)	
Low	22 (13.7)	63 (39.4)	3 (1.9)	88 (55.0)	
Total	73 (45.6)	83 (51.9)	4 (2.5)	160 (100)	



HR-positive	HER2 status on residual disease after NACT, n (%)			Total
	0	Low	Positive	
HER2 status on primary BC				
0	32 (7.9)	44 (10.9)	2 (0.5)	78 (19.3)
Low	36 (8.9)	239 (59.2)	51 (12.6)	326 (80.7)
Total	68 (16.8)	283 (70.1)	53 (13.1)	404 (100)

Fig. 3. Evolution of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status from primary breast cancer (BC) tumors to residual diseases after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) in all (A), hormone receptor (HR)–negative (B), and HR-positive (C) patients.

tumors was better than that of patients with HER2-0 tumors in the HR-negative subgroup. Studies reporting negative results were generally characterized by a short follow-up time or a relatively small sample size of TNBC [10,20].

Some studies have documented that HER2-low expression is associated with poor prognosis in HR-positive patients [14,24], which may be related to the fact that the HER2 pathway is involved in endocrine therapy resistance [25]. The *de novo* endocrine resistance is related to the co-existence of HER2 pathway, while the acquired endocrine resistance of endocrine therapy may be related to the acquired *HER2* gene amplification [26]. In a previous study, PAM50 data showed that the level of *HER2* gene in HER2-low group was higher than that in HER2-0 group in HR-positive population [10]. This suggests that HER2-low patients in the HR-positive population are prone to endocrine resistance. This study found that HER2-low and HR-positive tumors are more likely to change to HER2-positive tumors after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, which may also be one of the mechanisms of tumor resistance. For patients with endocrine-resistant HRpositive BC with HER2-low expression, novel ADCs may be useful. Another study opined that the risk of brain metastasis in HER2-low tumors is higher than that of HER2-0 tumors [24], which is similar to the characteristics of HER2-positive tumors. Another study discovered that the DFS and OS of the HER2-low group were better than those of the HER2-0 group in patients with HR-positive BC of Oncotype DX who have a high genetic risk [27]. From the results of the above



Fig. 4. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status and disease-free survival analysis after neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT). (A) Distribution of HER2-positive according to hormone receptor (HR) and HER2 status before NACT. (B) The impact on disease-free survival events rate of HER2 gain and targeted therapy. (C) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for disease-free survival according to HER2 status after NACT (HER2-positive 87.9% vs. HER2-negative maintained 79.5%; p=0.048). (D) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for disease-free survival according to targeted therapy in HER2-positive patients after NACT.

studies, it appears that HER2-low tumors are associated with a better prognosis than HER2-0 tumors in TNBC and high-risk HR-positive BC, whereas HER2-low tumors have a worse prognosis than HER2-0 tumors in low-risk HR-positive BC. However, Almstedt et al. [28] found that DFS and OS of HER2-low patients were significantly better than those of HER2-0 patients (15-year DFS, 67.5% vs. 47.3%, p < 0.001; 15-year OS, 75.4% vs. 66.8%, p=0.009), and OS analysis was also significant in the HR-positive group (p=0.039) [28].

These diverse findings could be attributed to tumor heterogeneity, and another possible reason is the inconsistent interpretations of IHC 0 and 1+ among pathologists. The success of trastuzumab deruxtecan in the treatment of advanced BC makes it imperative to accurately define the HER2-low status. According to the latest guidelines, HER2-0 status includes tumors that faintly express HER2 in $\leq 10\%$ of tumor cells [1]. The current definition of the HER2-low status may not permit accurate screening of the population suitable for novel ADCs treatment. The presently used IHC detection method is not perfect for distinguishing HER2-low and HER2-0 expressions. We need a better detection method to objectively and accurately determine the HER2 expression level, and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction may be a candidate.

Our study observed that the evolution of HER2 expression from primary tumors to residual diseases is related to HR and HER2 status before NACT. In another study, it was also noted that the HER2-low and HER2-0 expressions changed significantly after neoadjuvant treatment [18]. This significant alteration may be caused by the spatial heterogeneity of the tumor or the abovementioned limitations of the detection methods and the inconsistencies in the interpretations of pathologists. Other studies had established that the HER2low expression differs significantly between primary and recurrent tumors [29,30]. We prefer to use tumor heterogeneity to explain the significant changes in HER2 expression because the evolution happened in so many patients.

In our study, the DFS of the 57 HER2-positive patients after NACT was significantly better than that of the HER2-negative maintained patients. The majority of the HER2-positive patients received trastuzumab (with or without pertuzumab) therapy after NACT. In the other study, no difference was observed in DFS between HER2 gain and HER2-negative maintained patients after NACT (p=0.26) [31]. The number of HER2 gain patients was too small (n=11), and only three patients (27.8%) received trastuzumab in this study. This finding suggests that the use of IHC can be continued until the new HER2 detection technology is confirmed, especially in patients with non-pCR. The result also emphasizes the need to retest the HER2 status after NACT. For tumors with spatial heterogeneity, NACT may not kill HER2-positive or HER2-low tumor cells, which can be treated with trastuzumab or novel ADCs.

The advantage of this research is that most of the patients enrolled in the neoadjuvant therapy study had a high risk of recurrence, which can yield convincing data on the biological characteristics of HER2-low BC. The novelty of the study is that it has analyzed the influence of the HER2 status of the residual disease on DFS after NACT. The findings provide clinical support for the selection of postoperative treatment options and the necessity to retest the HER2 status in residual diseases after NACT for HER2-negative BC. Nonetheless, our research has some limitations. Our study was retrospective research from a single central database. Furthermore, the median follow-up time was only 40.2 months.

HER2-low was associated with HR positivity. The HER2low status did not have a significant effect on pCR and DFS, and the evidence is insufficient to consider HER2-low tumors as a distinct biological subtype of BC. The HER2 status changed significantly after NACT, which may offer targeted therapy opportunities, such as trastuzumab. HER2low tumors were more likely to change to HER2 positivity after NACT when compared with HER2-0 tumors, and the evolution was more common in the HR-positive group. HER2 gain patients benefited from targeted therapy, with a better DFS than HER2-negative maintained patients.

Ethical Statement

This study was conducted in accordance with the standards set out in the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Henan Cancer Hospital (Research Approval Number: 2022-299). The Medical Ethics Committee of Henan Cancer Hospital granted exemption from informed consent for the study given its retrospective nature.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the analysis: Ma Y, Chen X, Liu Z. Collected the data: Ma Y, Zhu M, Zhang J, Lv M. Contributed data or analysis tools: Ma Y, Zhu M, Zhang J, Chen X. Performed the analysis: Ma Y, Zhu M, Zhang J, Lv M, Liu Z. Wrote the paper: Ma Y, Zhu M, Chen X, Liu Z. Funding acquisition: Ma Y, Liu Z.

ORCID iDs

Youzhao Ma^(D): https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6056-5703 Mingda Zhu^(D): https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1990-8943 Xiuchun Chen^(D): https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7134-7170 Zhenzhen Liu^(D): https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3878-1258

Conflicts of Interest

Conflict of interest relevant to this article was not reported.

Acknowledgments

This research was sponsored by the Henan Provincial Medical Science and Technology Research Project (grant number: LHGJ20220204) and Training Program for Young and Middle-aged Health and Technology Innovation Leaders in Henan Province (grant number: YXKC2022005).

The authors would like to thank all the reviewers who participated in the review and MJEditor (www.mjeditor.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Allison KH, Harvey BE, Mangu PB, Bartlett JM, et al. Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline focused update. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:2105-22.
- 2. Piccart M, Procter M, Fumagalli D, de Azambuja E, Clark E,

Ewer MS, et al. Adjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in early HER2-positive breast cancer in the APHINITY trial: 6 years' follow-up. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39:1448-57.

3. Swain SM, Miles D, Kim SB, Im YH, Im SA, Semiglazov V, et al. Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (CLEOPATRA): end-of-study results from a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21:519-30.

- 4. Fehrenbacher L, Cecchini RS, Geyer CE Jr, Rastogi P, Costantino JP, Atkins JN, et al. NSABP B-47/NRG oncology phase III randomized trial comparing adjuvant chemotherapy with or without trastuzumab in high-risk invasive breast cancer negative for HER2 by FISH and with IHC 1+ or 2. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38:444-53.
- 5. Tarantino P, Hamilton E, Tolaney SM, Cortes J, Morganti S, Ferraro E, et al. HER2-low breast cancer: pathological and clinical landscape. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38:1951-62.
- 6. Schalper KA, Kumar S, Hui P, Rimm DL, Gershkovich P. A retrospective population-based comparison of HER2 immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization in breast carcinomas: impact of 2007 American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists criteria. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2014;138:213-9.
- 7. Banerji U, van Herpen CM, Saura C, Thistlethwaite F, Lord S, Moreno V, et al. Trastuzumab duocarmazine in locally advanced and metastatic solid tumours and HER2-expressing breast cancer: a phase 1 dose-escalation and dose-expansion study. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20:1124-35.
- 8. Modi S, Park H, Murthy RK, Iwata H, Tamura K, Tsurutani J, et al. Antitumor activity and safety of trastuzumab deruxtecan in patients with HER2-low-expressing advanced breast cancer: results from a phase Ib study. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38: 1887-96.
- 9. Modi S, Jacot W, Yamashita T, Sohn J, Vidal M, Tokunaga E, et al. Trastuzumab deruxtecan in previously treated HER2-low advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2022;387:9-20.
- 10. Schettini F, Chic N, Braso-Maristany F, Pare L, Pascual T, Conte B, et al. Clinical, pathological, and PAM50 gene expression features of HER2-low breast cancer. NPJ Breast Cancer. 2021;7:1.
- 11. Zhang G, Ren C, Li C, Wang Y, Chen B, Wen L, et al. Distinct clinical and somatic mutational features of breast tumors with high-, low-, or non-expressing human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 status. BMC Med. 2022;20:142.
- 12. Denkert C, Seither F, Schneeweiss A, Link T, Blohmer JU, Just M, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of HER2-lowpositive breast cancer: pooled analysis of individual patient data from four prospective, neoadjuvant clinical trials. Lancet Oncol. 2021;22:1151-61.
- 13. Tarantino P, Jin Q, Tayob N, Jeselsohn RM, Schnitt SJ, Vincuilla J, et al. Prognostic and biologic significance of ERBB2-low expression in early-stage breast cancer. JAMA Oncol. 2022;8: 1177-83.
- 14. Bao KK, Sutanto L, Tse SS, Man Cheung K, Chan JC. The Association of ERBB2-low expression with the efficacy of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor in hormone receptor-positive, ERBB2-negative metastatic breast cancer. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e2133132.
- 15. de Nonneville A, Houvenaeghel G, Cohen M, Sabiani L, Bannier M, Viret F, et al. Pathological complete response rate and disease-free survival after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with HER2-low and HER2-0 breast cancers. Eur J

Cancer. 2022;176:181-8.

- 16. Gahlaut R, Bennett A, Fatayer H, Dall BJ, Sharma N, Velikova G, et al. Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on breast cancer phenotype, ER/PR and HER2 expression: implications for the practising oncologist. Eur J Cancer. 2016;60:40-8.
- 17. Niikura N, Tomotaki A, Miyata H, Iwamoto T, Kawai M, Anan K, et al. Changes in tumor expression of HER2 and hormone receptors status after neoadjuvant chemotherapy in 21,755 patients from the Japanese breast cancer registry. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:480-7.
- 18. Miglietta F, Griguolo G, Bottosso M, Giarratano T, Lo Mele M, Fassan M, et al. HER2-low-positive breast cancer: evolution from primary tumor to residual disease after neoadjuvant treatment. NPJ Breast Cancer. 2022;8:66.
- 19. Won HS, Ahn J, Kim Y, Kim JS, Song JY, Kim HK, et al. Clinical significance of HER2-low expression in early breast cancer: a nationwide study from the Korean Breast Cancer Society. Breast Cancer Res. 2022;24:22.
- 20. Horisawa N, Adachi Y, Takatsuka D, Nozawa K, Endo Y, Ozaki Y, et al. The frequency of low HER2 expression in breast cancer and a comparison of prognosis between patients with HER2-low and HER2-negative breast cancer by HR status. Breast Cancer. 2022;29:234-41.
- 21. Fernandez AI, Liu M, Bellizzi A, Brock J, Fadare O, Hanley K, et al. Examination of low ERBB2 protein expression in breast cancer tissue. JAMA Oncol. 2022;8:1-4.
- 22. Villegas SL, Nekljudova V, Pfarr N, Engel J, Untch M, Schrodi S, et al. Therapy response and prognosis of patients with early breast cancer with low positivity for hormone receptors: an analysis of 2765 patients from neoadjuvant clinical trials. Eur J Cancer. 2021;148:159-70.
- 23. Kang S, Lee SH, Lee HJ, Jeong H, Jeong JH, Kim JE, et al. Pathological complete response, long-term outcomes, and recurrence patterns in HER2-low versus HER2-zero breast cancer after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Eur J Cancer. 2022;176:30-40.
- 24. Guven DC, Kaya MB, Fedai B, Ozden M, Yildirim HC, Kosemehmetoglu K, et al. HER2-low breast cancer could be associated with an increased risk of brain metastasis. Int J Clin Oncol. 2022;27:332-9.
- 25. Osborne CK, Schiff R. Mechanisms of endocrine resistance in breast cancer. Annu Rev Med. 2011;62:233-47.
- 26. Arpino G, Wiechmann L, Osborne CK, Schiff R. Crosstalk between the estrogen receptor and the HER tyrosine kinase receptor family: molecular mechanism and clinical implications for endocrine therapy resistance. Endocr Rev. 2008;29: 217-33.
- 27. Mutai R, Barkan T, Moore A, Sarfaty M, Shochat T, Yerushalmi R, et al. Prognostic impact of HER2-low expression in hormone receptor positive early breast cancer. Breast. 2021;60: 62-9.
- 28. Almstedt K, Heimes AS, Kappenberg F, Battista MJ, Lehr HA, Krajnak S, et al. Long-term prognostic significance of HER2low and HER2-zero in node-negative breast cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2022;173:10-9.
- 29. Tarantino P, Gandini S, Nicolo E, Trillo P, Giugliano F, Zagami P, et al. Evolution of low HER2 expression between early and

advanced-stage breast cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2022;163:35-43.

- 30. Miglietta F, Griguolo G, Bottosso M, Giarratano T, Lo Mele M, Fassan M, et al. Evolution of HER2-low expression from primary to recurrent breast cancer. NPJ Breast Cancer. 2021;7:137.
- 31. Yoshida A, Hayashi N, Suzuki K, Takimoto M, Nakamura S, Yamauchi H. Change in HER2 status after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and the prognostic impact in patients with primary breast cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2017;116:1021-8.