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Discordance of the PAM50 Intrinsic Subtypes Compared with IHC-Based Surrogate in Breast Cancer Patients: Potential Implication of Genomic Alterations of Discordance
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Abstract

Purpose

We aimed to analyze the discordance between immunohistochemistry (IHC)-based surrogate subtyping and PAM50 intrinsic subtypes and to assess overall survival (OS) according to discordance.

Materials and Methods

A total of 607 patients were analyzed. Hormone receptor (HR) expression was evaluated by IHC, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) expression was analyzed by IHC and/or fluorescence in situ hybridization. PAM50 intrinsic subtypes were determined according to 50 cancer genes using the NanoString nCounter Analysis System. We matched concordant tumor as luminal A and HR+/HER2-, luminal B and HR+/HER2+, HR-/HER2+ and Her2-enriched, and triple-negative breast cancer and normal- or basal-like. We used Ion AmpliSeq Cancer Panel v2 was used to identify the genomic alteration related with discordance. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate OS.

Results

In total, 233 patients (38.4%) were discordant between IHC-based subtype and PAM50 intrinsic subtype. Using targeted sequencing, we detected somatic mutation-related discordant breast cancer including the VHL gene in the HR+/HER2- group (31% in concordant group, 0% in discordant group, p=0.03) and the IDH and RET genes (7% vs. 12%, p=0.02, 0% vs. 25%, p=0.02, respectively) in the TNBC group. Among the luminal A/B patients with a discordant result had significantly worse OS (median OS, 73.6 months vs. not reached, P<0.001), and among the patients with HR positivity, the basal-like group as determined by PAM50 showed significantly inferior OS compared to other intrinsic subtypes (5-year OS rate, 92.2% vs. 75.6%, P=0.01).
Conclusion

A substantial portion of patients showed discrepancy between IHC subtype and PAM50 intrinsic subtype in our study. The survival analysis demonstrated that current IHC-based classification could mislead the treatment and result in poor outcome. Current guidelines for IHC might be updated accordingly.
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Introduction

Despite advances in cancer treatment, breast cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in women [1]. In recent decades, intrinsic molecular subtypes have been established to understand the biological complexity of breast cancer. The intrinsic subtypes of breast cancer are defined into 5 groups of luminal A, luminal B, Her2-enriched, basal-like, and normal-like [2-4]. Gene-expression profiling and tissue microarray have defined the intrinsic subtypes, and several studies with varying numbers of genes have been performed to develop a genomic test [5,6]. Prior studies have shown significant improvement in terms of risk factors, incidence, prognosis, and responses to systemic therapies [7,8].

Among the prior genomic tests, Parker et al. reported a PAM50 assay using GEP, which is widely used in a clinical setting [7,9,10]. The PAM50 assay can be used to assess the expression levels of 50 genes in surgically resected breast cancer tissue including formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue in hormone-receptor positive patients [4,11]. The PAM50 assay (Prosigna Breast Cancer Gene Signature Assay, NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA) was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in September 2013. However, there is currently no practical molecular assay for intrinsic subtypes in real-world practice that addresses the problems of cost and run-time. In routine pathological works, breast cancer subtypes are classified by immunohistochemistry (IHC) to design treatments, predict prognosis of patients, and enroll in clinical trials.

Breast cancer patients in Asian populations, including Korea, have different demographic features compared to Caucasian patients. The majority of breast cancer patients are premenopausal, and the peak incidence age is in the 40s [12,13]. Also, premenopausal breast cancer patients are known to have poor prognosis and aggressive clinical behavior [14].
However, most of the approved commercial assays for intrinsic subtypes have not been evaluated in an Asian population [15]. The discordance of IHC-based subtype with intrinsic subtype has not been investigated in Asian populations, and survival considering discordance has not been evaluated. Hence, we aimed to assess the discordance between IHC-based subtype and PAM50 intrinsic subtype and to evaluate overall survival (OS) according to discordance. We additionally aimed to investigate the genetic mutations that are associated with discordance in Korean breast cancer patients.

Materials and Methods

1. Patients

We collected data from the electronic medical records and all tumor samples from patients who underwent curative surgery or tumor biopsy at Samsung Medical Center (n=605). The patients were enrolled in 5 retrospective studies and 1 clinical trial. The information from the 6 clinical studies is shown in S1 Table. Data comprised sex, age, TNM staging, HR status (expression of estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) expression (confirmed by fluorescence in situ hybridization or silver in situ hybridization), menopausal status, date of diagnosis (curative surgery or first tumor biopsy), date of death, and date of last follow-up.

2. DNA/RNA extraction

A total of 605 tissue samples with a tumor cell percentage greater than 75% (from 4-mm unstained sections) were dissected under a microscope based on comparison to an
hematoxylin and eosin-stained slide. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and total RNA was extracted using the High Pure RNA Paraffin kit (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After extraction, we measured DNA and RNA concentration using a spectrophotometer (ND1000; NanoDrop Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Each sample was then quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Samples with less than 10 ng/µL of genomic DNA and less than 50 ng/uL of total RNA, even after concentration using a SpeedVac concentrator (Thermo Scientific™, Waltham, MA) were excluded from downstream analysis.

3. Next-generation sequencing using Ion AmpliSeq Cancer Panel v2

Using the Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine (Ion PGM, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) Cancer Panel v2 (S2 Table) after DNA isolation from FFPE samples, we sequenced 2,855 loci from 50 cancer-related genes to identify genetic mutations in 118 samples from breast cancer (BC) patients. Libraries were constructed using the Ion AmpliSeq Panels pool (Life Technologies) with a 10-ng DNA sample per pool. The amplicons were then ligated to Ion Xpress Barcode Adapters and purified. Next, multiplexed bar-coded libraries were enriched by clonal amplification using emulsion polymerase chain reaction on Ion Sphere particles (Ion PGM Template OT2 200 Kit, Life Technologies) and loaded onto an Ion 316 Chip. Massively parallel sequencing was carried out on the Ion PGM using the Ion PGM Sequencing 200 Kit v2. The Ion AmpliSeq Cancer Hotspot Panel v2 (http://www.lifetechnologies.com) covered hot-spot regions of 50 oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. The primary filtering process was carried out using the Torrent Suite v3.6.0 and the Ion Torrent Variant Caller v3.6
software. The pipeline included signaling processing, base calling, quality score assignment, adapter trimming, read alignment to human genome 19 references, mapping QC, coverage analysis, and variant calling. For variant detection, a minimum coverage of 100 reads must be achieved, and at least 5% of mutant reads were selected as variants. Variant calls were further analyzed using the ANNOVAR, which included variant filtering and annotation using the COSMIC database, dbSNP build 137, and amino acid change information.

4. PAM50 intrinsic subtype prediction

PAM50 gene expression was measured on the NanoString nCounter Analysis System (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA). The system measures the relative abundance of each mRNA transcript of interest using a multiplexed hybridization assay, and digital readouts of fluorescent bar-coded probes that are hybridized to each transcript are created [16]. Intrinsic subtype classification was performed using the PAM50 predictor and was applied to the nearest PAM50 centroid algorithm Bioclassifier to predict the PAM50 subtypes, as described in Parker et al. [7]. To obtain more consistent results, we merged microarray expression data of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) breast cancers with our NanoString data after adjusting for batch effects using the ComBat algorithm [17] and applied the nearest PAM50 centroid algorithm Bioclassifier to predict PAM50 subtypes [7]. For all statistical tests, PAM50 subtype prediction was conducted using R ver. 3.5.1 (http://www.R-project.org/).

5. Statistical analysis

For analysis of the difference according to discordance, SPSS statistical software ver. 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used. All comparisons were examined by Fisher exact test.
FDR (false discovery rate) was applied for multiple testing correction. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date of curative surgery or first tumor biopsy to the date of death or last follow-up. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate OS, and survival curves were compared by the log-rank test. A Cox proportional hazard regression model was used to assess the impact of the prognostic variable on OS. All tests were two-tailed, and p-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

6. Ethical statement

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea (IRB No.2018-02-004) with an informed consent waiver due to the use of archival tissues with retrospective clinical data.

Results

1. Patient characteristics

The patient characteristics are demonstrated in Table 1, and a total of 607 patients with BC were analyzed. The median age of all patients was 47 years (range, 21 to 78 years), and approximately 70% of patients were premenopausal. Most patients had early breast cancer, and stage IV metastatic breast cancer was found in 2.6% (16 of 605) of patients. The types of sample were 53% (321/605) fresh frozen tissue (286/605) and 47% (286/605) FFPE. The proportion of patients within each study is depicted in S3 Fig. The proportions of hormone receptor (HR)+/HER2-, HR+/HER2+, HR-/HER2+, and TNBC in the study population were 46.0%, 10.7%, 18.6%, and 24.7%, respectively. According to PAM50
intrinsic subtypes, 46.6% were luminal A/B, 23.7% were Her2-enriched, 24.5% were basal-like, and 5.1% were normal-like type (Table 1).

2. Pattern of discordance between IHC subtype and PAM50 intrinsic subtype was distinct among the IHC-based group

In total, 233 patients (38.4%) were discordant between IHC-based subtype and PAM50 intrinsic subtype. The distribution of PAM50 intrinsic subtypes within each IHC subtype is depicted in Fig. 1. Among the 278 patients with HR+/HER2-, non-luminal A/B type was found in 4% with Her2-enriched, 6% with basal-like, and 6% with normal-like type, respectively. Twenty-six percent of HR-/HER2+ patients were not Her2-enriched type. Of 151 TNBC patients, 2% were luminal A type, and 13% were Her2-enriched type. The majority of discordant patients were HR+ (176 of 234, 75.2%), and 12.4% (29 of 234) were HER2+. Among the 23 TNBC patients with discordance, 86.7% (20 of 23) were classified as Her2-enriched type.

3. DNA sequencing of concordant/discordant breast cancer shows heterogeneous mutation patterns

To identify the patterns of gene expression associated with discordancy, we performed next-generation sequencing using the Ion Ampliseq cancer panel (minor allele frequency > 0.01). Somatic single nucleotide variants and small insertion-deletions (indels) were most frequently observed as the types of genetic alterations (S4 Fig.). TP53 was the most common mutation (67%), and the majority were missense mutations. Other frequent mutations in our cohort were RB1 (28.8%), SMAD4 (28%), APC (25.4%), and PIK3CA
(21.2%). Fig. 2 demonstrates the frequency of mutations in 50 genes among 118 patients. The frequency of mutations was not significantly different between the concordant and discordant groups (p=0.26).

4. Significant difference in mutation patterns detected according to discordance

Next, we compared the mutation profiles of concordant tumors (n=83) and discordant tumors (n=35) in order to identify gene expression signatures associated with discordance using the 50-cancer gene panel. Among HR+/HER2- patients, mutation in the \textit{VHL} gene was significantly higher in concordant patients (31% in concordant group, 0% in discordant group, \(P=0.03\), Fig. 3A). Among TNBC patients, the IDH1 and RET genes were more frequently observed in the discordant group (7% vs. 12%, \(P=0.02\), 0% vs. 25%, respectively, \(P=0.02\), Fig. 3D). There was no significant difference between concordant and discordant groups in all IHC-subtypes or HR+/HER2+ and HR-/HER2+ groups (Fig. 3B and 3C).

Fig. 4 shows the re-clustering of groups according to incidence of mutations. We defined 8 groups as classified by IHC-based subtype and PAM50 concordance/discordance. According to hierarchical re-clustering with 44 mutations, concordant TNBC, concordant HR+/HER2+, and concordant ER-/HER2+ were grouped as a significantly similar entity cluster (\(P=0.03\)).

5. Discordant results between IHC-based subtype and PAM50 intrinsic subtype is associated with significantly shorter OS

To evaluate the impact of discordance on survival, we analyzed the OS according to discordance. The median duration of follow-up was 125 months (95% confidence interval
The patients with discordant results had shorter OS than patients with concordant results, but the difference was not statistically significant (5-year OS rate, 83.6% vs. 87.7%, \( p=0.26 \)) (Fig. 5A). Among HR+ patients (n=343), the patients with basal-like subtype by PAM50 (n=17) showed significantly shorter median OS compared to non-basal-like subtypes (5-year OS rate, 92.2% vs. 75.6%, \( p=0.01 \)) (Fig. 5B). In patients with luminal A or B by PAM50, concordance with IHC demonstrated a better OS (median OS, not reached vs. 73.6 months, \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

Currently commercial assays for intrinsic molecular subtypes are available for patients with early HR+/HER2- and node-negative breast cancer, and adjuvant systemic therapy is recommended in accordance with the result [18,19]. However, only a small portion of breast cancer patients benefit from the multi-gene assay, and the majority of patients still rely on IHC-base subtypes in the clinic. In the current study, we found that discordance of IHC-based subtype with intrinsic subtype is considerable and is correlated with survival.

We found an overall discordance rate of 39% between IHC-based subtype and intrinsic molecular subtype. Our study showed that discordance could be a reason for the under-treatment or over-treatment of breast cancer. For example, 29% of the patients with Her2-enriched subtype were HER2+ disease according to IHC (S5 Fig.). Patients with Her2-enriched/HER2-negative by IHC could experience under-treatment with hormone therapy or omission of anti-HER2 antibody. Such an oversight would not guarantee adequate treatment for breast cancer. The 2011 St. Gallen guideline recommended the treatment of breast cancer
according to pathologic determination of ER, PR, HER2, and Ki67 [20]. Our results suggest that the guideline of St. Gallen should consider patients with discordance.

The discrepancy between IHC-based subtype and intrinsic molecular subtype has recently been analyzed in several studies. The study of Parker-PAM50 [7] were evaluated in accordance with IHC-based subtype, and the discordance rate of HR+ was 45.1%, while that of HER2+ was 28.6% in analysis by Paquet et al. [21]. The HR+/HER2- subcohort demonstrated that 58.3% of Lumina A and 80.0% of ER-/HER2+ subcohorts were Her2-enriched type [7,21]. In recent studies of patients with HER2+ by IHC, about 51% had Her2-enriched intrinsic subtype [22]. In our study, 55% of HR+/HER2- patients were luminal A, and 74% of HR-/HER2+ patients were Her2-enriched. These results are consistent with previous studies.

Marked heterogeneity was shown in mutational profile based on DNA sequencing in the current study. The genetic alterations that we found were mostly known driver mutations of breast cancer including TP53, PIK3CA, MLH1, and RB1 [23,24]. Mutations of the IDH1 and RET genes were most frequently observed in discordant TNBC patients. IDH1 mutations are commonly observed in brain tumors including glioblastoma multiforme and are known to be related with prognosis [25,26]. The IDH1 mutation in breast cancer has been reported, but the role of the mutation is unclear. RET oncogenic mutations are also well known genomic alterations in human cancer and MEN (multiple endocrine neoplasia) type 2A, 2B [27,28]. Cabozantinib and vandetanib, oral tyrosine kinase inhibitors with multi-target including RET, are approved for the treatment RET-mutated metastatic medullary thyroid carcinoma by the US FDA. RET mutations in breast cancer have been reported, and some studies have suggested that RET mutations are correlated with ER expression [29,30]. Three patients were
discordant TNBC as luminal A intrinsic type in our study, but we could not check if they had the RET mutation.

In addition, we tried to regroup the patients based on the incidence of mutated genes in concordant and discordant tumors. The results showed significant clusters that consisted of concordant TNBC, concordant HR+/HER2+, and concordant HR-/HER2+ (Fig. 5A, p=0.003). The phylogenetic tree depicted a greater genetic distance between discordant HR-/HER2+ patients and the other subgroups. Concordant HR+/HER2- patients were genetically far from discordant HR+/HER2-patients, demonstrating the significance of discordance between IHC and intrinsic subtypes.

We evaluated the OS of patients according to discordance with a median follow-up 10 years, and the results showed that discordance could influence survival of breast cancer. Notably, we found that the discordant results had significantly worse OS in two populations. First discordant group (HR- by IHC but luminal A or B intrinsic subtype) showed 73.6 months of median OS (95% CI 52.6 to 94.6 months) but concordant group (HR+ and luminal A or B) not reached median OS (p < 0.001) (Fig. 5B). Second discordant group (HR+ but basal-like intrinsic subtype) had significantly worse OS (5-year OS rate, 92.2% vs. 75.6%, p=0.010) (Fig. 5C). The potential explanation for this result is under-treatment of patients with discordant results and better survival of HR+ patients with endocrine therapy. Similarly, the 5-year OS rate was 87.2% in concordant Her2-enriched patients (IHC was HER2+ and PAM50 was Her2-enriched), while the patients with discordant result (IHC was not HER2+ but PAM50 was Her2-enriched) had a 75.4% 5-year OS rate (p=0.807(S6 Fig.). This result could be attributed to whether anti-HER2 treatment was given. Because Her2-enriched patients with a discordant result might not receive anti-HER2 treatment, they showed lower survival at 5 years.
Our study is retrospective and the tumor tissues were acquired from 6 clinical cohorts rather than from a single cohort, so interpretation of the results should be judicious. Although we tried to reduce the batch effect and to exclude normal samples for insurance of comparability between expression levels of genes across patients, the difference of sample quality among 6 cohorts could be a limitation. The drawback of the method of Parker et al. was that PAM50 prediction might be not robust according to the cohort configuration, particularly in cohorts with specific IHC alone. In order to overcome the problems of the Parker’s method and the disadvantage of not performing the commercial PAM50 test, we applied the TCGA adjustment to the PAM50 prediction. Most SMC study cohorts showed similar concordance regardless of TCGA adjustment, and we could get better concordance in patients with only specific IHCs by applying TCGA adjustment (S7 Fig.). However, the predictability of PAM50 could be decreased in a specific subcohort (e.g., a specific subtype) [21] our multi-cohort might overcome the shortcoming of PAM50 prediction. The small sample size for mutation profile analysis (a total of 118 samples) unlike PAM50 prediction (n=607) was also a limitation of our study. Hence, caution is necessary when drawing a conclusion about correlation between discordance and mutation pattern.

In conclusion, we analyzed the distribution of intrinsic molecular subtypes within IHC-based subtype and survival outcomes in accordance with discordance. We also found several gene alterations related to discordance through analysis of mutational profiling, and the result demonstrated the patients with the same IHC subtype had genetically different features. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate analyze the impact of discordance between IHC-based subtypes and PAM50 prediction. Our result suggests that discordance between intrinsic subtype and IHC-based subtype could result in inadequate treatment and
poor survival of breast cancer, so the treatment guidelines might be need to be revised to ensure proper intrinsic subtype characterization.
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Table 1. Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. (%) (n=607)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range)</td>
<td>47 (21-78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median overall survival (range, yr)</td>
<td>18.3 (0-20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status (n=605)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>432 (71.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>173 (28.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (n=605)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>132 (21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>307 (50.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>150 (24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>16 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR+/HER2-</td>
<td>279 (46.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR+/HER2+</td>
<td>65 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-/HER2+</td>
<td>113 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBC</td>
<td>150 (24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal A</td>
<td>181 (29.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminal B</td>
<td>102 (16.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Her2-enriched</td>
<td>144 (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal-like</td>
<td>149 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal-like</td>
<td>31 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IHC, immunohistochemistry; HR, hormone-receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer.
Fig. 1. Distribution of the PAM50 intrinsic subtypes within each IHC-based group.
**Fig. 2.** Mutation pattern according to the frequency of 50 cancer-related genes, mutation map of concordant group (A), mutation map of discordant group (B).
Fig. 3. The prevalence of mutated genes in concordant/discordant tumors of hormone receptor (HR)+/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)- (A), HR+/HER2+ (B), HR-/HER2+ (C), and TNBC (D).
Fig. 4. Re-classification for hierarchical clustering of data based on the incidence of mutated genes in concordant and discordant tumors. (A) The dendrogram of discordant pattern based on mutated genes using 10,000 multi-scale bootstrap re-sampling; red letter: approximately unbiased (AU) values, green letters: bootstrap probability (BP) values. Clusters with AU values greater than 0.95 are highlighted with a red rectangle and are strongly supported. (B) The heatmap of discordant pattern with mutation incidence.
(A) Log-rank $P = 0.257$
HR 0.82 (95% CI 0.58-1.15)

(B) Log-rank $P = 0.010$
HR 2.87 (95% CI 1.23-6.70)
Fig. 5. Kaplan-Meier curve of overall survival (OS) according to discordance. (A) All patients (n=607). (B) OS in basal-like and non-basal-like types in HR+ patients (n=343). (C) OS in Her2-enriched and non-Her2-enriched types in HER2+ patients (n=113).
**S1 Table.** Information of 6 clinical studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SMC cohort 1</th>
<th>Exploration of biomarker using serum and tissue gene expression profile in breast cancer patients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMC cohort 2</td>
<td>Genetic alteration after systemic treatment in breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC cohort 3</td>
<td>Clinicopathological features of early failure of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC cohort 4</td>
<td>A Prospective, Open-label, Single-arm, Multi-Center, Phase II Exploratory Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy and Safety of NOV120101 (Poziotinib) in Patients with HER2-overexpressed Recurrent Stage IV Breast Cancer Who Have Received at Least Two Prior HER2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC cohort 5</td>
<td>Targeted sequencing using paired tumor and normal tissue from biobank for patients with breast cancer who received curative surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMC cohort 6</td>
<td>Mutational Spectrum of Brain Metastases from Breast Cancer Compared with Primary Breast Cancer Tissue According to Breast Cancer Intrinsic Subtypes Using High-Throughput Ampliseq</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### S2 Table. Ion AmpliSeq cancer Hotspot Panel v2 gene list (N=50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABL1</th>
<th>AKT1</th>
<th>ALK</th>
<th>APC</th>
<th>ATM</th>
<th>BRAF</th>
<th>CDH1</th>
<th>CDKN2A</th>
<th>CSF1R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTNNB1</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>ERBB2</td>
<td>ERBB4</td>
<td>EZH2</td>
<td>FBXW7</td>
<td>FGFR1</td>
<td>FGFR2</td>
<td>FGFR3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3</td>
<td>GNA11</td>
<td>GNAQ</td>
<td>GNAS</td>
<td>HNF1A</td>
<td>HRAS</td>
<td>IDH1</td>
<td>IDH2</td>
<td>JAK2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK3</td>
<td>KDR</td>
<td>KIT</td>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>MLH1</td>
<td>MPL</td>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>NPM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>PDGFRA</td>
<td>PIK3CA</td>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>PTPN11</td>
<td>RB1</td>
<td>RET</td>
<td>SMAD4</td>
<td>SMARCB1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMO</td>
<td>SRC</td>
<td>STK11</td>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>VHK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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S3 Fig. The proportion of patients by 6 clinical studies.
S4 Fig. Mutation frequencies detected with Ion AmpliSeq Cancer Hotspot Panel v2.
S5 Fig. The proportion of IHC by PAM50 intrinsic subtypes.
S6 Fig. Kaplan-Meier Plot and hazard ratio of overall survival for each subtype for both immunohistochemistry-based and PAM50-based subtypes.
S7 Fig. The effect of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) adjustment on the concordance of immunohistochemistry (IHC) and PAM50 intrinsic subtypes. SMC, Samsung Medical Center.